



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,966	07/03/2003	Mahesh Ajgaonkar	SP02-155	5753
22928	7590	07/26/2006	EXAMINER	
CORNING INCORPORATED			GARCIA, LUIS	
SP-TI-3-1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CORNING, NY 14831			2613	
DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/613,966

Applicant(s)

AJGAONKAR ET AL.

Examiner

Luis F. Garcia

Art Unit

2613

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on July 3, 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on July 3, 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. **Claim 18 is objected** to because of the following informalities: redundant language: "the optical fiber span comprises at least one optical fiber section having a positive dispersion at a wavelength and at least one optical fiber section having a positive dispersion at the wavelength", underlined part of the claim repeats stated limitation. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claims 1-18 and 23-27 are rejected** under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tager et al (US 2004/0208608) in view of Hayee et al (IEEE Photonics Technology Letters Vol. 9, NO. 9); Tager et al hereinafter referred to as Tager and Hayee et al hereinafter referred to as Hayee. NOTE: Prior art reference Hayee will be referenced by +column and paragraph number (e.g. total of six columns and 9 paragraphs)).

Claims 1-16 addressed below.

Regarding claim 17, Tager discloses an optical communications system (FIG. 15 and ¶0050) comprising:

an optical signal source capable of generating a plurality of signals at a plurality of wavelengths, including first and second signals (**FIG. 15. (Transponders-178A, 178B) and ¶0050 in which the transponders generate individual wavelengths for transmission (plurality of signals at a plurality of wavelengths));**

a plurality of nodes including first, second and third nodes (**FIG. 15 (168A,B,C,D: transmitting/receiving nodes) and ¶0050);**

a plurality of optical fiber links including: interconnecting links that optically interconnect the plurality of nodes (**FIG. 15 in which the optical nodes (168A-D) are interconnected via a plurality of fibers);**

and external branch links, each external branch link optically connected to at least one of the nodes, including a first external branch link that optically connects the first node to the optical signal source (**FIG. 15 (176-pre/post compensator) in which the pre/post compensator lies on an external branch link connecting transponders (178A,B)(optical signal sources) to the band multiplexer.**

NOTE: Tager's design is functionally equivalent to having an external branch link optically connected a node to the signal source, e.g. the left two sub band multiplexers (left of 180) and the band multiplexer (182) comprise a node; therefore, the right most sub band multiplexer (180) connects transponders-178A,B to the node via an external branch link.

NOTE: 176-pre/post compensator is equally applicable to other nodes in optical system 164 (e.g. within Tager's TX/RX nodes-168B-D at 184 and 186 compensating devices));

and a signal dispersion pre-compensation means optically coupled to the first external branch link (**FIG. 15 (176,184,186-pre/post compensators) in which the pre/post compensators lie on an external branch link**);

wherein the first and second signals are pre-compensated prior to entering the first node (**FIG. 15 (176-pre/post compensators, 178A,B-transponders) in which the signals from the transponders (first and second signals) are pre-compensated by pre-compensator-176 prior to entering the node (NODE: two left most sub band multiplexers and band multiplexer-182))**;

wherein the first signal is added at the first node, then transported to and dropped at the second node; and wherein the second signal is added at the first node, then transported to and dropped at the third node (**FIG. 15 (178A,B-transponders) in which the first and second signals are added to the band multiplexer (182)(part of first node); furthermore, it is well known in the art that the switching node (170) receiving these signals is capable of dropping the first and seconds signals at different nodes, at a second node , at a third node))**).

Tager does not expressly disclose wherein the first and second signals are pre-compensated by a substantially similar magnitude and with the same sign prior to entering the first node;

Hayee teaches wherein the first and second signals are pre-compensated by a substantially similar magnitude and with the same sign (**col3 ¶6 and FIG. 2a in which optical channels 1 and 2 are pre-compensated by similar magnitude and the same**

sign (e.g. DSF+: channels 1 and 2 in which their magnitudes are pre-compensated at approx. 80% with positive dispersion shifted fibers (same sign))).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tager and incorporate Hayee's teachings of pre-compensated signals by using similar magnitude and same sign. The motivation being that this helps minimize the effects of SPM as taught by Hayee col3 ¶¶6; thereby, reducing degradation of the optical signal.

Regarding claim 1, rejected as stated in claim 17 apparatus rejection.

Regarding claim 2, Tager in view of Hayee disclose the method of claim 1 as applied above.

Tager further discloses wherein the first and second optical signals are produced at a common source location (**FIG. 15 (178A,B-first and second transponders) in which the first and second transponders are located at a common source location (e.g. common source location includes both transponders and sub band multiplexer (180)))**).

Regarding claim 3, Tager in view of Hayee disclose the method of claim 1 as applied above.

Tager further disclose wherein the first and second optical signals are produced at different source locations (**FIG. 15 (178A,B-first and second transponders) in which the first and second transponders are located at different source locations (e.g. first and second signals are produced by separate transponders; therefore, are located at different locations))**).

Claims 4-7 addressed below.

Regarding claim 8, rejected as stated in claim 2 rejection.

Claims 9-10 addressed below.

Regarding claim 11, rejected as stated in claim 17 apparatus rejection in which optical signals are pre- and post-compensated.

Regarding claim 12, rejected as stated in claim 17 apparatus rejection in which the first and second signals are pre-compensating before entering a first node (before dropping the signals).

Claims 13-17 addressed below.

Regarding claim 18, Tager in view of Hayee disclose the method of claim 17 as applied above.

Tager does not expressly disclose wherein the optical fiber span comprises at least one optical fiber section having a positive dispersion at a wavelength and at least one optical fiber section having a positive dispersion at the wavelength.

Hayee teaches wherein the optical fiber span comprises at least one optical fiber section having a positive dispersion at a wavelength and at least one optical fiber section having a positive dispersion at the wavelength (**col2 ¶3 in which the optical fiber span comprise optical fibers with positive and negative dispersion (e.g. dispersion map 3: two SMF fibers ($D=+17\text{ps}/(\text{nm}\cdot\text{km})$) are followed by a DCF fiber ($D=-85\text{ps}/(\text{nm}\cdot\text{km})$)).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tager and incorporate Hayee's teachings of using fibers with

positive and negative dispersion. The motivation being that this helps minimize dispersion and nonlinear effects in each channel as taught by Hayee col1 ¶2; thereby, reducing distortions in the optical signal.

Claim 19 addressed below.

Regarding claim 20-21, rejected as stated in claim 18 in which Tager further discloses in FIG. 12 and ¶0040-0042 how to calculate/design a fiber span to meet a target value for of per span dispersion; therefore, it is a matter of design choice to have the residual dispersion be greater than or less than 10 ps/nm.

Regarding claim 22, rejected as stated in claim 17 in which Tager discloses to pre-compensate the signals by a certain amount based on the target dispersion (e.g. ¶0042 in which the signals are pre-compensated by 600ps/nm); therefore, it is a matter of design choice to pre-compensate the first and second signal within 50 ps/nm of each other in order to meet the targeted dispersion value.

Regarding claim 23, Tager in view of Hayee disclose the method of claim 17 as applied above.

Tager further discloses wherein at least one signal enters a first node (FIG. 1 (102B-end node) and ¶0029 in the a signal enters the optical system through end node-102B (first node)), transits through a second node (FIG. 1 (102D-end node) and ¶0029 in which the signal is transmitted through end node-102D (second node)), and is dropped at a third node (FIG. 1 (104B-switch node) and ¶0029 in which the signal is received (dropped) at switch node-104B (third node)).

Claims 24-25 addressed below.

Regarding claim 26, Tager discloses an optical communications system (FIG. 15 and ¶0050) comprising:

a first optical signal source capable of generating a plurality of signals at a plurality of wavelengths including a first signal (FIG. 15. (Transponders-178A, 178B) and ¶0050 in which the transponders (first optical signal source) generate individual wavelengths for transmission (plurality of signals at a plurality of wavelengths));

a second optical signal source capable of generating a plurality of signals at a plurality of wavelengths including a second signal (FIG. 15. (Transponders) and ¶0050 in which the transponders at another node (second optical signal source) generate individual wavelengths for transmission (plurality of signals at a plurality of wavelengths));

a plurality of nodes including first, second and third nodes (FIG. 15);

and a plurality of optical fiber links including: interconnecting links that optically interconnect the plurality of nodes (FIG. 15 and ¶0050 in which the optical nodes (168A-D) are interconnected via a plurality of fibers); and external branch links (FIG. 15 in which 176, 184 and 186 lie on an external branch link), each external branch link optically connected to at least one of the nodes (FIG 15 in which the external branch links describe above are optically connected to their respective nodes), including:

a first external branch link that optically connects the first node to the first optical signal source (FIG 15 and ¶0050 in which 184 lies on a first external branch link

that optically connects the first node (2 left most sub band multiplexers and band multiplexer-182) to transponders 178A,B (first optical signal source));

a second external branch link that optically connects the second node to the second optical signal source (FIG 15 and ¶0050 in which 176 lies on a second external branch link that optically connects the second node (2 bottom most sub band multiplexers and band multiplexer) to the two top most transponders (second optical signal source); and

a third external branch link optically connected to the third node (FIG 15 and ¶0050 in which 186 lies on a third external branch link that optically connects the third node (2 bottom most sub band multiplexers and band multiplexer) to the two top most transponders (third optical signal source);

wherein the first signal is added at the first node, then transported to and dropped at the third node (FIG. 15 and ¶0050 in which the second signal is added to the first node; furthermore, it is well know in the art that an optical switching node (170) is able to transfer the received first signal to any other node in optical system-164 (e.g. the first signal is received (dropped) by the third node)); wherein the second signal is added at the second node, then transported to and dropped at the third node (FIG. 15 and ¶0050 in which the second signal is added to the second node; furthermore, it is well know in the art that an optical switching node (170) is able to transfer the received second signal to any other node in optical system-164 (e.g. the second signal is received (dropped) by the third node)); and wherein the third external branch link includes signal dispersion post-compensation means for

Art Unit: 2613

post-compensating the first and second signals with dispersion post-compensation **(FIG. 15 (176-pre/post compensator) and ¶0050 in which the pre/post compensators lie on the second external branch link. NOTE: 184-dispersion compensating measure and 186-complementary measure are functionally equivalent to pre/post compensators (e.g each compensate dispersion in transmitted (pre-)/received (post-) optical signals on their respective external branch links)).**

Tager does not expressly disclose wherein the third external branch link includes signal dispersion post-compensation means for post-compensating the first and second signals with dispersion post-compensation of substantially similar magnitude and of the same sign

Hayee teaches wherein the third external branch link includes signal dispersion post-compensation means for post-compensating the first and second signals with dispersion post-compensation of substantially similar magnitude and of the same sign **(col3 ¶6 and FIG. 2b in which optical channels 4 and 5 are post-compensated by similar magnitude and the same sign (e.g. DSF-: channels 4 and 5 in which their magnitudes are post-compensated by approx. 95% with negative dispersion shifted fibers (same sign))).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tager and incorporate Hayee's teachings of pre-compensated signals by using similar magnitude and same sign. The motivation being that this helps

Art Unit: 2613

minimize the effects of SPM as taught by Hayee col3 ¶6; thereby, reducing degradation of the optical signal.

Regarding claim 27, Tager discloses the method of claim 26 as applied above.

Tager does not expressly disclose wherein greater than 50% of the dropped signals are each post-compensated by a substantially similar magnitude and with the same sign.

Hayee teaches wherein greater than 50% of the dropped signals are each post-compensated by a substantially similar magnitude and with the same sign (**col3 ¶6 and FIG. 2b in which all optical channels (100% of the received/dropped signals) are post-compensated by similar magnitude and the same sign (e.g. DSF-: channels 1-8, in which their magnitudes are post-compensated by approx. 95% with negative dispersion shifted fibers (same sign)))**).

Regarding claim 4-5, rejected as stated in claim 26 rejection.

Regarding claims 6-7, rejected as stated in claim 27 rejection.

Regarding claim 9, rejected as stated in claim 2 (common source location rejection) and claim 27 rejection (greater than 50% pre-compensation rejection).

Regarding claim 10, Tager in view of Hayee disclose the method of claim 1 as applied above.

Tager further discloses wherein the first and second signals temporally overlap (**¶0042 in which it is well known in the art that pre-compensating signals by a large amount (e.g. by 600 ps/nm) will cause the two signal pulses to broaden and temporally overlap as they enter the optical system**).

Regarding claim 13-16, are rejected as stated in claim 27 rejection in which all the signals received are post compensated.

Regarding claim 24, rejected as stated in claim 27 apparatus rejection.

Regarding claim 25, rejected as stated in claim 26 apparatus rejection.

3. **Claims 19 are rejected** under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tager in view of Hayee in further view of Tsuritani et al (US 6,754,420); Tsuritani et al hereinafter referred to as Tsuritani.

Regarding claim 19, Tager in view of Hayee disclose the method of claim 18 as applied above.

Tager does not expressly disclose wherein the optical fiber span comprises optically coupled first, second and third optical fiber sections, the first optical fiber section having a dispersion of negative or positive sign at a wavelength, the second optical fiber section having a dispersion of opposite sign at the wavelength, and the third optical fiber section having a dispersion of like sign at the wavelength.

Hayee teaches wherein the optical fiber span comprises optically coupled first, second and third optical fiber sections, the first optical fiber section having a dispersion of negative or positive sign at a wavelength, the second optical fiber section having a dispersion of opposite sign at the wavelength (**col2 ¶3 in which the optical fiber span comprise optical fibers with positive and negative dispersion (e.g. dispersion map 3: two SMF fibers ($D=+17\text{ps}/(\text{nm}\cdot\text{km})$) are followed by a DCF fiber ($D=-85\text{ps}/(\text{nm}\cdot\text{km})$)).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tager and incorporate Hayee's teachings of using fibers with positive and negative dispersion. The motivation being that this helps minimize dispersion and nonlinear effects in each channel as taught by Hayee col1 ¶2; thereby, reducing distortions in the optical signal.

Tager in view of Hayee does not expressly disclose wherein the optical fiber span comprises optically coupled first, second and third optical fiber sections, the first optical fiber section having a dispersion of negative or positive sign at a wavelength, the second optical fiber section having a dispersion of opposite sign at the wavelength, and the third optical fiber section having a dispersion of like sign at the wavelength.

Tsuritani teaches wherein the optical fiber span comprises optically coupled first, second and third optical fiber sections, the first optical fiber section having a dispersion of negative or positive sign at a wavelength, the second optical fiber section having a dispersion of opposite sign at the wavelength, and the third optical fiber section having a dispersion of like sign at the wavelength (**col2 ln13-27 in which a wide dispersion compensating span includes a first optical fiber with positive dispersion, a second optical fiber with negative dispersion and a third optical fiber with the same configuration as the first optical fiber (e.g with positive dispersion)).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Tager in view of Hayee and incorporate Tsuritani's teachings of using alternating sign dispersion compensating fibers. The motivation being that this allows for a system which uses only two types of fibers to flatten chromatic dispersion;

Art Unit: 2613

thereby, allowing for optimal transmission characteristics to be realized and making maintenance easier as taught by Tsuritani col2 ln28-32.


Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luis F. Garcia whose telephone number is (571)272-7975. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken N. Vanderpuye can be reached on (571)272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LG


KENNETH VANDERPUYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER